Natural convection for a Herschel-Bulkely fluid inside a differentially heated square cavity

Horimek Abderrahmane^{1*}, Djouaf Salah-Eddine¹, Nouereddine Ait-Messaoudene^{2,3}

¹ Laboratoire de développement en mécanique et matériaux (LDMM), Department ST, Ziane Achour University, Djelfa, Algeria
 ² Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Hail, KSA
 ³ Laboratoire des applications énergétiques de l'Hydrogène (LApEH), University Blida1, Algeria

Abstract: Natural convection for a Herschel-Bulkely fluid, inside a differentially heated square cavity, is studied numerically using Fluent/Ansys 15.0 code. The cavity is heated from the vertical sides and insulated from the horizontal ones. We have studied the effect of Bingham-number (Bn) at a fixed Rayleigh-number (Ra), then the effect of Rayleigh-number at fixed Bn and finally the effect of the rheological index n at fixed Bn and Ra. Prandtl-number (Pr) is taken equal 1.0 for the whole study. Results showed that an increasing Bn leads to a flow-intensity decrease, and hence its perturbation. Therefore, temperature field becomes less perturbed and the Nusselt decreases. The opposite happens if Bn decreases. Increasing Ra, leads to similar results as those known for the Newtonian-case, but with a lower intensity because of Bn effect. The decrease of n has an opposite effect of that of increasing Bn, and inversely. A rapid tendency toward the conductive problem (Ra=0.0) is registered if Bn>0 particularly when n>1.0.

Key words: Natural convection, square cavity, Bingham fluid, Herschel-Bulkely fluid.

1. Introduction

For decades, the world has seen the birth of new products in various fields. The race towards the optimization of the products gave rise to collaborations of several disciplines for the same objective. As an example, electronic parts development requires, in part, the mastery of heat transfer and fluid dynamic processes, since the first enemy of these components is temperature increase as consequence of Joule' effect, which reduces their performance in a first time and risk completely damaging them at a later stage. In another part, the rheological properties of fluids must also be well controlled. These properties directly affect heat transfer processes, by slightly or strongly changing the fluid motion nature (its dynamic), supposed to ensure the heat exchange. Rheology implies that fluid viscosity changes under shear-stress effect, which will sometimes accelerate the flow motion and sometimes decelerate it. Numerous rheological models exist in literature mainly formulated from laboratory tests. A rheological model is a mathematical equation linking shear-stress to fluid deformation, resulting in a non-constant expression of viscosity, which is the feature of non-Newtonian fluids. For this, one can find many rheological laws with different complexity degrees [1]. This complexity made their mathematical and numerical treatments (simulation) in the momentum and energy equations difficult and sometimes still impossible.

The present work deals with natural convection heat transfer in a differentially heated square cavity filled with Herschel-Bulkely fluid. This non-Newtonian fluid is characterized by a flow threshold stress (τ_0) and a rheological index (n). Threshold stress characterizes the resistance to deformation or flow. It is only from this value that the flow begins. The rheological index is an exponent in the rheological law of this fluid which expresses the decrease or increase in viscosity of the fluid according to its value (less than or greater than 1.0). This model, proposed in 1926, remains to this day one of the most difficult models to study, especially numerically. The difficulty is caused by the mathematical discontinuity during the passage from a non-deformed area (behaves like a solid) to another in flow. Viscosity is described as infinite (∞) in the first region and dependent on n in the second.

It should be noted that this type of problem has received a lot of studies in literature it's been more than 60 years. The extensive bibliographic reviews made by Ostrah [2] and Yener et al. [3] enumerated a major part of published works from 1953 to 2013. In addition, such geometry is widely found in practice for Newtonian and Non-Newtonian fluids. Oil-drilling, pulp paper, slurry transport, food processing, polymer engineering, geophysical systems, electronic cooling systems, and nuclear reactors are examples for both types of fluids [4,5].

For works treating the problem close to our study (heated fluid), only few works were found. As example, we can cite the work of Turan et al. [6] and

^{*} **Corresponding author :** Horimek Abderrahmane E-mail : Horimek_aer@yahoo.fr

Vikhansky [7], in which the authors studied the problem for a Bingham fluid enclosed in a rectangular cavity. Finite difference method were used and they were interested on the effects of Ra, Pr and Bn numbers on the dynamic (streamlines) and thermal (isotherms) fields, in addition to the heat transfer coefficient (Nu). The geometric ratio (height / width) has also been processed. Boutra et al. [8] have studied the problem in unsteady situation for a square. Lattice Boltzmann method is used for solving equations. The method has shown great efficiency at low shears that usually create numerical instabilities. The vertical walls are maintained at constant and different temperatures while the horizontal walls are assumed adiabatic. The effects of Pr, Ra and Bn are treated, where large effects of the three numbers were obtained whether on the dynamic or thermal field. For a steady state situation, Seghier et al. [9] have studied the influence of **Ra** on the heat exchange coefficient (Nu) by comparing the Newtonian case with the non-Newtonian one. Bn and Pr numbers were supposed constant. The authors have shown that Nu increases with **Ra** for both types of fluid, whereas it decreases for the Binghamian case compared to the Newtonian case by reducing the motion intensity under the threshold stress. In addition to the effects of Ra and Bn, Chakraborty et al. [10] have studied the inclination angle effect applied to a square cavity (in both directions). They found that mean Nusselt number increases with **Ra** and decreases with **Bn**. For large **Bn** values, the heating mode becomes almost purely conductive. Inclination angle also has a considerable improving effect in counterclockwise direction. The opposite is recorded in the other direction. Using the finite element method, Huilgol and Kefayati [11] have studied the problem for the same fluid, where they showed that the non-sheared zone (solid zone) is reduced in size as **Ra** increases and expands as **Bn** increases. This result confirms the findings seen in [10]. In addition, a better heat exchange is recorded with the increase of **Ra** and/or the decrease of **Bn** by non-sheared zone shrinkage and thus increase of the circulation. It is worth a lot noting that we were unable to find studies close to our present work, dealing with a Herschel-Bulkley fluid as a heating fluid.

2. Problem description

It is a natural convective heat transfer problem inside a square enclosure (Fig.1). The vertical sides are at different temperature (T_h : hot left wall and T_c : cold right wall), while the horizontal ones are insulated. Boussinesq assumption is employed for a laminar regime. Except density, all the remaining parameters are supposed temperature-independent. The heated fluid is of Herschel-Bulkely type $(\tau = \tau_0 + K.\dot{\gamma}^n)$, characterized by a yield-shear-stress τ_0 and a flow index **n**. This rheological model can describe five kinds of fluid following τ_0 and **n** values ($\tau_0 = 0$; **n**=1: *Newtonian fluid*; **n**<1: *Pseudoplastique fluid*; **n**>1: *Dilatant fluid*. $\tau_0 \neq 0$; **n**=1: *Bingham fluid*; **n**≠1: *Herschel-Bulkely fluid*). We note that this model is sometimes called the generalized Bingham fluid [1]. In this work we have treated only the two last cases when $\tau_0 \neq 0$. For the others, see reference [12].



Fig.1 Geometry and problem details

Following the previous considerations, the problem is two dimensional, and its dimensionless governing equations are:

Continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{1}$$

x momentum equation:

$$u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}\right) + \Pr\left(2\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x\partial y}\right) + \left(2\frac{\partial \mu_a}{\partial x}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \mu_a}{\partial y}\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mu_a}{\partial y}\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)$$
(2)

y momentum equation:

$$u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial y}\right) + \Pr\left(2\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial \mu_a}{\partial x}\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + 2\frac{\partial \mu_a}{\partial y}\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \mu_a}{\partial x}\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right) + Ra\Pr\theta$$
(3)

where :
$$\begin{cases} \mu_a = K\dot{\gamma}^{n-1} + \tau_0/\dot{\gamma} & \text{if } \tau \ge \tau_0 \\ \dot{\gamma} = 0 \ (\mu_a \to \infty) & \text{if } \tau < \tau_0 \end{cases}$$

Energy equation:

$$\left(u\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x}+v\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial y}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial x^2}+\frac{\partial^2\theta}{\partial y^2}\right)$$
(4)

Boundary conditions:

$$(x, y) = (0, 0 \to 1) : v = 0.0, \ \theta = 1$$

(x, y) = (1, 0 \to 1) : v = 0.0, \theta = 0
(x, y) = (0 \to 1, 0 et 1) : u = 0.0; \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = 0.0 (5)

The following parameters are used to get the dimensionless equations (stars indicate dimensional):

$$x = \frac{x^{*}}{L}; y = \frac{y^{*}}{L}; u = \frac{u^{*} L}{\alpha}; v = \frac{v^{*} L}{\alpha}; p = \frac{p^{*} L^{2}}{\rho \alpha^{2}}; \theta = \frac{T - T_{F}}{T_{C} - T_{F}}$$
(6)

u, *v* : Horizontal and vertical velocities respectively;

 μ_a : Apparent viscosity;

 p, θ : Pressure and temperature respectively;

 $Ra = \frac{\rho^2 C_{Pg} \beta \Delta T L^3}{\mu_a \lambda}$: Rayleigh number;

 $Pr = \mu_a C_P / \lambda$: Prandtl number;

 $Bn = \frac{\tau_0}{\mu_a} \sqrt{\frac{L}{g\beta \Delta T}}$: Bingham number.

We note that the Bingham number characterizes the yield-shear-stress (resistance to fluid deformation). The resistance to the flow (fluid deformation under stress) is much higher as Bn is big. When Bn=0, no flow resistance is present.

Since this work treated a heat transfer problem, the Nusselt number is calculated for the studied cases to observe when rheological behavior leads to an improvement or degradation in heat transfer rate. This number is calculated using the following expressions:

$$Nu_i = \frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial x_i} \bigg|_{x=0}$$
(7)

$$Nu_{moy} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Nu_i$$
(8)

Where N is the side nodes number and the index i is the current node where calculation is done.

3. Resolution procedure

Commercial code Fluent/Ansys 15.0 is employed to solve the present problem. Firstly, geometry is plotted under Workbench-Module, exported then to the meshing module, where elements' number, type and fitness are chosen in addition to boundaries nomination and their kinds' definition. Lastly, the geometry and the chosen mesh are then exported to the calculation-Module, where the physical proprieties, the Algorithm of resolution, the accuracy of calculation are fixed.



Fig. 2 Mesh effect on stream-function, isotherms and Numoy; Ra=10⁺⁵, Pr=1 and Bn=0.5

The presence of yield-stress in the fluid behavior leads to a singularity between the yielded and the unyielded zones. The fluid behaves like a solid in the second zone and a fluid in the first. The transition from one zone to the other must pass through a separating boundary with infinite viscosity on the solid side, and finite viscosity on the fluid side. Thus a difficulty in the modulating of this phenomenon rises-up at the separating boundary. Different solutions are proposed to handle this constraint [13,14]. The mesh refinement helps also to overcome a little the problem. For this we have made a mesh-results dependency study to choose the optimal one. This part of the work leads to the choice of 120×120 mesh elements with an amplification factor of 1.02 starting from the walls among four tested meshes (Fig.2).

4. Code validation

After choosing the optimal mesh, we have done many validations to be confident with the obtained results. We note that we were not able to find a published work with a Herschel-Bulkely case.

We have presented here three validated cases for:

- A Newtonian fluid (Bn=0; n=1) with $Ra=10^{+5}$,
- *Pr*=0.71 (Fig.3) with the work in ref. [15];

- A Bingham fluid with different *Bn*, *Ra* and *Pr* values (Fig.4) with the work in ref. [11];

- A Bingham fluid with different values of **Bn** and **Ra**

at *Pr*=1 (Fig.5) with the work in ref. [6]. Good accuracy is found for all the tested cases.



Fig.3 Validation for a Newtonian fluid; Ra=10⁺⁵, Pr=0.71



Fig.4 Validation for a Bingham fluid; Ra=10⁺⁵, Pr=1, Bn=0.5



Fig.5 Validation for dimensionless vertical velocity at y=0.25m for different *Pr*. $Ra=10^{+5}$; Bn=1.

5. Results and discussion

As discussed above, we treat a natural convection problem for a Herschel-Bulkely fluid. This fluid is

characterized by a yield-stress represented by the Bingham number (Bn) and a rheological index (n). Natural convection is characterized by the generation of an ascending flow of hot currents (and descending near the cold wall in our case) with increasing intensity with the value of the Rayleigh number (Ra). Therefore, and to better exploit the results, we will classify them in the order:

- Bingham fluid (*n*=1.0) with variable *Bn* at *Ra* and *Pr* fixed: To reveal the effect of *Bn*;
- Bingham fluid at fixed *Bn* and *Pr* for variable *Ra*: To disclose the effect of the flow intensity generated by the buoyancy force;
- Herschel-Bulkely fluids with variable *n* (<1 then >1) with fixed *Ra* and *Pr*: To unveil the effect of *n* once the others are illustrated.

The effect of Pr is not treated in this work. In summary it has an opposite effect to that of Ra [12].

5.1 Effect of the **Bn** number ($Ra=10^{+5}$; **Pr=1.0**)

In this part, the values of Ra and Pr have been fixed. For the first one the value 10^{+5} is taken. The choice of the Ra value was based on two things: the first is that it is a high enough value to have an intense natural convection flow; the second is that for this value, two circulating zones are observed for a Newtonian fluid (Bn=0.0), which makes it easy to observe the effect of Bn by making them grow or disappear. It is recalled that for high Pr, one risks losing the two circulating zones since Pr has an opposite effect to that of Ra.

Before analyzing the results, it should be remembered that the Bingham number reflects the effect of the yield stress. A high Bn means that the yield-stress to be crossed to have a flow of the fluid is hi gh, the inverse will take place if Bn is small. For Bn=0.0, the fluid flows under the effect of small buoyancy force (Ra small). Physically, the Bn will play an opposite role to that of Ra. In other words; if Bn increases for a fixed value of Ra, after a certain value of Bn, the flow generated by the buoyancy force will stop. This value of Bn corresponds to the shearstress generated by Ra. So, for all Ra, we have a Bnwhich cancels the motoring shear-stress.

The analysis of Figure (6) showing the current lines for six values of Bn (0, 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5 and 10) reveals that, flow intensity gradually decreases (see captions). After a certain value of Bn, the two circulating zones disappear and a similar appearance to the case with small Ra (single circular zone) is recorded. By increasing Bn again, flow intensity becomes very small. Bn=10 is taken as superior limit since it is largely sufficient to illustrate Bn effect. Consequently, one can say that after a certain value of Bn, the conduction heating mode (no flow) is reached.



Fig. 6 Effect of *Bn* number on the stream-function; *Pr*=1; *Ra*=10⁺⁵

In Figure (7), the effect of Bn on the temperature field (isotherms) was presented. It is clear that in view of the problem coupling, any disturbance in the velocity field will be recorded in the temperature field. Thus, as the increase in Bn reduces the intensity of the flow and hence its perturbation, the isothermal field tends to become vertical when Bn increases. It is recalled that for a pure conduction problem, the isotherms are perfectly vertical.

Figure (8) shows the effect of Bn on the value of the non-dimensional vertical velocity taken at y=0.25m. This position corresponds to the maximum intensity of the velocity V. We can see clearly the reduction of this velocity with the increase of Bn. For example, the value of this velocity is three (3) times greater for Bn=0 than that for Bn=5. It becomes fifteen (15) times greater when Bn becomes 10. This result implies that Bn' effect intensifies strongly after a certain value.



Fig. 7 Effect of *Bn* number on the temperature field; *Pr*=1; *Ra*=10⁺⁵



Fig. 8 Vertical velocity profile at *y*=*L*/2 for different *Bn*; *Pr*=1; *Ra*=10⁺⁵

From what has been explained, one can conclude that the heat exchange will degrade when **Bn** increases. This is the direct cause of the reduction of perturbations in flow and temperature fields (bad mixing and thus bad heat exchange). The values in table (1) confirm this for Nusselt (mean, max and min). By way of indication, the value of Nu_{moy} for **Bn**=10 is close to that for **Ra**=10⁺³ for **Bn**=0.0 [2;5]. The yield-stress (for **Bn**=10) has reduced the buoyancy force magnitude by a hundred (100) times.

Table 1 Effect of *Bn* on *Nu* number; *Ra*=10⁺⁵; *Pr*=1

	Bn							
	0.0	1.0	2.5	5.0	7.5	10.0		
Nu _{moy}	4.5721	3.9965	3.3069	3.1213	2.6255	2.3667		
Nu _{Max}	7.9946	7.0038	6.6733	6.1878	5.8933	5.2767		
Nu _{Min}	0.7113	0.6333	0.5767	0.57	0.5667	0.5567		

5.2 Effect of the **Ra** number (**Bn**=1; **Pr**=1.0)

In figure (9) we have presented stream-function and isotherms results for Bn=1 and Pr=1 and four values of Ra (10⁺³, 10⁺⁴, 10⁺⁵ and 10⁺⁶). It is well known that when Ra increases, the buoyancy forces increase. As a result, the natural convection flow intensifies and circulating areas are formed for high Ra values. The reverse occurs when Ra decreases. This is well known and verified for a Newtonian and power-law fluids [2; 5], when Bn is nil. When the fluid is a Bingham kind, the yield-stress delays the generation of the flow. The effect of Ra becomes less intense. It is clear that this phenomenon is clearer as Bn is large. But, a strong Ra comes to generate the flow. For this we can see from the figure the opposite effects of Bn and Ra.



Fig. 9 Effect of Ra number on the velocity (stream-function) and temperature fields; Pr=1; Bn=1

This is well known and verified for a Newtonian and power-law fluids [2; 5], when **Bn** is nil. When the fluid is a Bingham kind, the yield-stress delays the generation of the flow. The effect of Ra becomes less intense. It is clear that this phenomenon is clearer as Bn is large. But, a strong Ra comes to generate the flow. For this we can see from the figure the opposite effects of **Bn** and **Ra**.

Compared to Bn=0 (Newtonian Fluid Fig. 6), the effect of **Ra** is less important. Therefore, the velocity and temperature fields are less disturbed.

In Figure (10), the effect of Ra on the vertical velocity V at y=L/2 was presented. As expected, it increases when Ra increases due to the intensification of buoyancy force. Compared to the Newtonian case (Bn=0.0, Fig.8), the registered magnitudes are lower (compare for $Ra=10^{+5}$).

Since the heat exchange is directly related to the disturbances of the two fields, and this exchange is much better (Nusselt larger) when disturbances are strong, there is an increase in the Nusselt value with **Ra** (Table 2). These values are obviously lower than those for **Bn**=0 and higher if **Bn** becomes greater than 1.0 (compare with Table.1).





Ra=1E03 100 Ra=1E04 Ra=1E05 Ra=1E06 50 -100 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 0.45 Fig.10 Vertical velocity profile at *y*=*L*/2 for different *Ra*; *Pr*=1; *Bn*=1

5.3 Effect of the index n ($Ra = 10^{+5}$; Bn = 1; Pr = 1.0)

In this last part, we will analyze the effect of the rheological index n for a non-nil yield-stress. Recalling that for n < 1, the viscosity of the fluid decreases while for n > 1 it increases. This effect, combined with that of **Bn**, will reduce the braking of the flow when n is less than 1.0. On the other hand when it is greater than 1.0, braking has been amplified (the braking caused by **Bn** will be intensified by the increase of viscosity). So, the Bingham case (n=1), can be used to serve as a witness.

n=2

24.1

n=2

n = 1.5

n=1.5

52



13

In figure (11), stream-function and isotherms are presented for five n values (0.5; 0.75; 1; 1.5 and 2.0). It can easily be seen that the disturbance in both fields (velocity and temperature) increases as n decreases and decreases when it rises up. This is due to fluid viscosity reduction and hence friction in the first kind (n<1). A bizarre circulating zones are formed, indicating the high intensity of the flow. The opposite

From the above explanation, we can clearly understand the great difference in the vertical velocity magnitudes from n=0.5 to n=2.0 presented in figure (12). For those two results as example, the maximum value registered for n=0.5 is about 18 times that for n=2.0. Furthermore, the first maximum is close to the wall while the second is far from it. This is known as the high velocity increase with fluid shear-thinning (viscosity decrease under shear stress) [1;16].

Consequently, a better heat exchange is found when n decreases and the opposite when it grows-up as shown in Table 3.



Fig.12 Vertical velocity profile at *y*=*L*/2 for different *n*; *Ra*=10⁺⁵; *Pr*=1; *Bn*=1

	n							
	0.5	0.75	1.0	1.5	2.0			
Nu _{moy}	4.3513	4.1285	3.9965	3.7059	3.5222			
Nu _{Max}	7.9946	7.5333	7.0038	6.9867	6.8293			
Nu _{Min}	0.7	0.6567	0.6333	0.6133	0.5857			

6. Conclusion

The obtained results from this numerical simulation allowed us to conclude that:

in the second kind (n>1) happened with the disappearance of the circulation zones. Compared to the cases $(Bn=5; Ra=10^{+5} - Fig.6- and Bn=1; Ra=10^{+4} - Fig.9-)$, we can see a close results to those when n=2.0. This indicates that the increase of n has a likewise effect of that of Bn and an opposite effect of that of Ra. Thus a tendency to the conduction mode is faster as n increases.

- The increase in of Bingham number (**Bn**) increases the resistance to the flow. For a given Rayleigh number (**Ra**), reductions of dynamic and thermal fields perturbations are recorded as **Bn** increases;
- Any reduction of the dynamic and thermal disturbances, results in a reduction in the heat transfer intensity (Nu). This may goes until a pure conductive heat mode for high **Bn** values;
- For a given **Bn** (nil or not), the increase in buoyancy force (**Ra**) improves heat exchange by increasing the dynamic and thermal disturbances;
- The rheological index (*n*) has a remarkable effect and there are two cases:
 - For *n*<1.0: Decreasing the viscosity when *n* decreases reduces the effect of *Bn*. An improvement in heat exchange results by disturbances amplifications;
 - For n > 1.0: The increase in viscosity (viscous friction braking) when n increases favors the effect of Bn. Degradation in the Nusselt value is obtained. A faster trend towards the case of pure-conduction (complete blocking) is recorded when n and Bn increase at the same time that each independently.

References

[1] R.B. Bird, R.C. Amstrong, O. Hassenger, Dynamics of polymeric liquids, V.1, Fluid mechanics, Sed Ed, Wiley, New-York, 1987.

[2] S. Ostrach, *Natural convection in enclosures*. in: J.P. Harnett, T.F. Irvine (Eds.), Advances in Heat Transfer, 8. Academic Press, London, 1972.

[3] Y. Yener, S. Kakac, A. Pramuanjaroenkij, Convective Heat Transfer, Third Edition. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2013.

[4] L. Khezzar, D. Siginer, I. Vinogradov, Natural convection of power law fluids in inclined cavities. Int. J. Therm. Sci., (2012) 8-17.

[5] A. Raisi, Natural Convection of Non-Newtonian Fluids in a Square Cavity with a Localized Heat source, J. Mech. Eng., (2015) 553-564.

[6] O. Turan, N. Chakraborty, R. J. Pool, Laminar natural convection of Bingham fluids in a square enclosure with differentially heated side walls, J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech., (2010) 901-913.

[7] A. Vikhansky, On the onset of natural convection of Bingham liquid in rectangular enclosures. J. Non-Newton. fluid Mech., (2010) 1713-1716.

[8] A. Boutra, Y. K. Benkahla, D.E. Ameziani, N. Labsi. Etude numérique du transfert de chaleur pour un fluide de Bingham dans une cavité carrée en mode de convection naturelle instationnaire. 20ème Congrès Français de Mécanique, Besançon, 29 août au 2 septembre, 2011.

[9] O. Seghier, L. Rahmani, B. Draou1, M. Médale, C. Abid, Natural Convection Simulations of Bingham Fluid within a Square Cavity with Differentially Heated Vertical Walls, Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Fluid Flow, Heat and Mass Transfer (FFHMT'16) Ottawa, Canada, Paper No. 145, May 2-3, 2016.

[10] N. Chakraborty, R.J. Poole, S. Yigit, Laminar natural convection of Bingham fluids in inclined differentially heated square enclosures subjected to uniform wall temperatures, J. Heat Transf. (2015) Vol. 137, 052504-1.

[11] R.R. Huilgol, G.H.R. Kefayati, Natural convection problem in a Bingham fluid using the operator-splitting method, J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech., (2015) 20-32. [12] A. Horimek, B. Noureddine A. Abdelfatah, N. Ait-Messaoudene, Natural convection for an Oswald-De-Waele fluid inside a differentially heated square cavity, International Conference on Mechanics and Energy (ICME'2016), December 22-24 2016, Hammamet, TUNISIA;

[13] T.C. Papanastasiou, Flow of materials with yield, Journal of Rheology., 31 (1987) 385-404.

[14] I.A. Frigaard, C. Nouar, On the Usage of Viscosity Regularisation Methods for Visco-Plastic Fluid Flow Computation, J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech., 127, Issue 1(2005) 1-26.

[15] G. De Vahl Davis, Natural convection of air in a square cavity: a benchmark numerical solution, International Journal of Numerical Methods in Fluids, 3 (1983) 249-264.

[16] A. Horimek, Ecoulement laminaire en convection mixte dans une conduite annulaire horizontale excentrique - cas d'un fluide pseudoplastique thermodependant-, Thèse de Doctorat des sciences, Université de Blida 1, 2014.